

The AIChE Journal, an official publication of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, is devoted in the main to theoretical developments and research in chemical engineering and allied branches of engineering and science.

PUBLISHER

F. J. Van Antwerpen

EDITOR

Robert C. Reid

MANAGING EDITOR

Sylvia Fourdrinier

MANUSCRIPT EDITOR

Elizabeth Bond

EDITORIAL BOARD

Richard R. Bannister
Elisabeth M. Drake
Claiborne A. Duval, Jr.
Gordon A. Hughmark
William B. Isaacson
Leon Lapidus
William H. Manogue
Henry A. McGee, Jr.
A. B. Metzner
John M. Prausnitz
Dale F. Rudd

Publication Office, 215 Canal street, Manchester, New Hampshire. Published in January, March, May, July, September, and November by the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 345 East 47 Street, New York, New York 10017. All manuscripts should be submitted only to R. C. Reid, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Room 12-190, Cambridge, Mass. 02139. Statements and opinions in the *AIChE Journal* are those of the contributors, and the American Institute of Chemical Engineers assumes no responsibility for them. Subscription: one year, \$50; special member rate, \$8; additional yearly postage, Canada \$1.25, Pan American Union \$2.00, other foreign \$2.50 (foreign subscriptions payable in advance). Single copies: \$15.00. Single copies more than one year old: \$16.00. Second-class postage paid at New York, N. Y. and additional mailing offices. Copyright 1973 by the American Institute of Chemical Engineers. National headquarters of AIChE is concerned about nondelivery of copies of the *AIChE Journal* and urgently requests subscribers to give prompt notification of any change of address. Sixty days must be allowed for changes to be made in the records.

Postmaster: Please send form 3579 to *AIChE Journal*, 345 East 47 Street, New York, N. Y. 10017.

The Ignorance Explosion

One cannot help being both fascinated and frightened in reading "The Ignorance Explosion," an article recently published by J. Lukasiewicz (*Trans. New York Acad. Sci.*, 1972, p. 373).

Lukasiewicz's theme is that we are becoming less and less able to appreciate and to use effectively the new information published each year. A similar paper appeared in *Chemtech*, 1972, page 393 by S. S. Dubin. If you suspect you are becoming obsolete, these papers will confirm the worst in a semiquantitative manner.

Much of the analysis employs as a yardstick the annual volume of published manuscripts. This rate has been growing exponentially and doubling about every 15 years. Professor Lukasiewicz also suggests that the complexity of the material is increasing so rapidly that we must narrow our specialties to the point that the adage "to know everything about nothing" ceases to be particularly amusing.

One of the scales used to measure our degree of obsolescence is the "coefficient of immediacy," C_I . (Price, D. J. de Solla, *Little Science, Big Science*, Columbia University Press, New York, 1963). If the advances in our total knowledge are proportional to the annual publication rate, then $C_I = 1 - 2^{-\Delta t/D}$. D represents the doubling time in the exponential growth rate of publications. Δt is the time period in question. For example, if a graduate has been working 20 years (Δt) and D is 15 years, then $C_I = 0.6$, that is, 60% of all the technical literature has appeared since his/her graduation. This result is arresting, but in some of the more active fields of chemical engineering, D is much less than 15 years and one's potential obsolescence rate is much higher.

There is also the measurement factor "coefficient of obsolescence" obtained by examining the changes in engineering curricula over the years. If all the courses we took as engineering students have been superseded by new courses, then our coefficient of obsolescence is 100%. Quoting from Professor Lukasiewicz, "The time to reach 50% potential obsolescence has been decreasing from about ten years for the 1945 graduates to five years for current graduates."

Obsolescence is then characterized by a half-life which, according to Dr. Dubin, is "the time after completion of professional training when, because of new developments, practicing professionals have become roughly half as competent as they were upon graduation." Half-life values for a current engineering graduate are about five years.

In all of these measurements systems, the bulk of published literature is equated to real progress. However, most engineering papers report incremental advances in theory or supply experimental observations, but papers which are truly advances are few and far between. In fact, they are not often even recognized as milestones in the sense that they must, by definition, diverge from the existing mainstream of thought.

We have for a long time been subjected to a literature overkill, yet the rate of obsolescence does appear to be increasing. Engineering graduates find it increasingly difficult to read papers written by advanced researchers in various fields. If such a trend continues, who will read and appreciate what is published? Who will be the critics?

The trend to continuing education projects is a hopeful sign and should be encouraged. Editors of technical journals can also help by insisting that papers be clear, interesting, and readable with the more significant results and conclusions clearly noted. We need to learn how to convey information rather than write to impress our colleagues.